FHIMS WG Terminology Modeling Sub-Project Meeting

Summary of Call

Orientation for Federal partners

Date/time of call: Wednesday, February 6, 2013, 2:30 - 4:30 PM

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Attendees** | | | |
| Jay Lyle - FHA PMO | Y | Mike Porter – VA | Y |
| Rob McClure - VA/VHA | Y | Nancy Cornish - CDC | Y |
| Pam Banning – 3M | Y | James Teisinga – IHS | Y |
| Galen Mulrooney - VA/VHA | Y | Ann Pollock – CDC | Y |
| Susan Matney – 3M | Y | Charu Puri – VA | Y |
| Jim Case – NLM | Y | Mary Beth Gagnon - CDC | Y |

Minutes

1. This is an orientation for federal partners in preparation to solicit comments on FHIM laboratory terminology work.
2. We reviewed the mailed materials using the presentation as an outline.
3. We planned to meet again after attendees had a chance to review the material.
4. The response form is optional: participants may simply wish to respond to our questions in the next column of the spreadsheet containing the questions.
5. A Doodle poll will be circulated to the invitee list to choose our follow-up meeting time.
6. Rob asked: is it OK to have a short list for interoperability, and to expect participants to map more specific local terms to the more general standard values? We agreed that the standard should be granular enough to support the needs of the use case for interaction, and if participants used more granular codes internally, they would need to map to the standard.
7. For several value sets, our recommendation is “Use what the Laboratory Community of Practice (LabCoP, at phconnect.org) is doing.” However, that work is still incomplete.
   1. The team is also developing a specimen collection manual to support conformance.
   2. If you disagree with that direction, we need to know about your concern.
   3. If you don’t disagree but have input for the LabCoP group, please provide it there.
   4. The Specimen Crossmap file is posted on the LabCoP site; I embed the latest version here for convenience.
   5. 
8. The scope of the FHIM includes any interoperability needs a government agency might have, including sending out referrals to commercial labs.
9. Specimen inappropriate may turn out to be a value in reject reason, as suggested; if so, we still need a text field to capture more information. This information may support the development of a value set in the future.
10. Temperature may need to support both observations on receipt and measurements taken by a device over time.
11. The model is designed to provide a goal for interoperability, not a constraint on any existing system.

**Schedule of Future Meetings**

1) The weekly general Information Modeling (IM) project call is held each Friday from 2:30 to 4:30 PM Eastern Time.

Information for participating in the calls:

Name: FHIMS WG Information Modeling Project Call

Recurring Weekly Call Every Friday

Time of Call: 2:30 to 4:30 PM Eastern Time

Dial-in Information: 1-866-502-8312, participant code 981771

Web Meeting URL: <https://webmeeting.nih.gov/imp/>

2) The weekly Terminology Information modeling calls are held on Wednesdays from 2-3:30 PM Eastern Time.

Information for participating in the calls:

Name: FHIMS WG Information Modeling Project Call

Recurring Weekly Call Every Wednesday

Time of Call: 2:00 to 3:30 PM Eastern Time

Dial-in Information: 1-866-502-8312, participant code 981771

Web Meeting URL: <https://webmeeting.nih.gov/imp/>

**Action Items**

| Item Description | Responsible Individual | Due Date |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Assess how closely we can align with APHL work   * We agree on current state; happy to work with/wait on abnormality & device | Jay | 4/11 |
| Acquire sample messages   * In process: values, not messages, which have not been scrubbed | Jay | 4/11 |